



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20201 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/005,412	12/03/2001	Eric W. Triplett	960296.97257	9401
75	590 03/19/2003			
David M. Kettner			EXAMINER	
Quarles & Brady LLP 1 South Pinckney Street			CLARDY, S	
P O Box 2113	,			· · ·
Madison, WI 53701-2113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 03/19/2003	10
	•			Ψ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 10/005,412 Applicant(s)

Art Unit

1616

Triplett et al

Examiner

S. Mark Clardy

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SH THE I - Extens mailing - If the I - Failure - Any re	date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the se statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Ind will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Be application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 5, 20				
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.			
3) 🗆					
Disposi	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.			
6) 🗀	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.			
8) 💢	Claims <u>1-17</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers				
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Example 11.					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) L	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority deapplication from the International Bureset the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 				
14)💢	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
a) [The translation of the foreign language provisiona	·			
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachm		,			
1) No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/005,412

Art Unit: 1616

Claims 1-17 are pending in this application which claims the benefit under 35 USC 119(e) of US Provisional Application No. 60/251,137, filed December 4, 2000.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-5 and 14, drawn to a composition comprising either a biologically pure bacterial culture, or a bacterial inoculum and a carrier, classified in class 435, subclass 252.1+.
- II. Claims 6-10 and 15, drawn to a microbiological method of enhancing plant growth, classified in class 504, subclass 117.
- III. Claims 11, 12, 16, and 17, drawn to seed coated with a bacterial composition, classified in class 504, subclass 100.
- IV. Claim 13, drawn to a method for identifying plant growth enhancing bacteria, classified in class 435, subclass 7.32.

These inventions are distinct because: 1) they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, 2) the search required for each Group is not required for the other(s), and 3) they have acquired a separate status in the art because of their divergent subject matter. Thus, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Further, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: various microbiological species or strains.

In addition to electing one of the above groups, applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, i.e., a <u>single bacterial strain</u>, for prosecution on the merits to which

Art Unit: 1616

the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, all claims generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1616

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Mark Clardy whose telephone number is (703) 308-4550.

S. Mark Clardy

Primary Examiner

AU 1616

March 17, 2003